Sectt. II-27—40,000—14-10-36.

(27)

Home (1937) Department.

Ne SERIES.

Letter

G.O. No. 528

DATED 6.2.1937

[Despatch Abstract.]

Court - Civil - District Munsif Court - Kollegal Appointment of an Additional District Munsif - Proposal Dropped.

124

C F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . *Registered* Home 1937 E 192
3.9.36.

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. O. P. No. 5/36-C2.

Station Madras , *Dated the* 1st September 1936

From (Name) D. Appa Rao Esq., Barrister-at-law,
(Designation) Ag. Registrar, High Court, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home Department.
(with enclosures).

Sir,

Sub:- Court - Civil - Appointment of an additional District Munsif, District Munsif's Court, Kollegal, Recommended.

- -

I am to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 6106 dated 10-8-1936 and the enclosures thereto from the District Judge of Coimbatore and to state that in the circumstances stated therein and in view of the facts that the Munsif is a Deputy Collector who is away from headquarters for nearly half the month and has a fair amount of criminal and revenue work to do and that the old suits are now nearly one-fourth of the total pendency of original suits and the figures of arrears are much more

M. 3-6 urgent

serious than they would be in an ordinary Munsif's Court, the Hon'ble the Judges recommend the appointment of an additional District Munsif for a period of three months, with an establishment of two Clerks on Rs. 30-5 each, with Short-hand allowance for one of the Clerks, and two peons on Rs. 12-17 each. I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to issue orders accordingly at an early date.

2. The extra cost involved in the proposal will have to be met from the existing budget grant for the current year under "24 e B-Administration of Justice - Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts - Regular establishments - Pay of Officers and Pay of establishments-voted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ag. Registrar.

PA

Copy of letter No. 6106/12-8 dated 10th August 1936 from the District Judge, Coimbatore to the Registrar High Court, Madras.

Ref:-High Court's R.O.P.No. 5 of 1936-C-2 dated 2nd July 1936.

Sub:-District Munsif's Court, Kollegal - appointment of - proposal called for:

A perusal of the statements enclosed shows that there were on the file of the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal 339 suits pending on 1-7-1936, of which nearly two thirds relate to title. As the District Munsif of Kollegal has also the revenue and magisterial work to do, and is at the headquarters only for about 12 days in the month, there is no prospect of this pendency being reduced within a reasonable time.

2. Mr.Newsam in letter No. 7754 dated 4-12-34 recommended that an additional District Munsif might be posted for at least a period of three months in order to reduce the arrears then on the file of the District Munsif of Kollegal. The High Court ordered in its R.Dis. No. 17 of 1935 dated 3-1-1935 that it was not proposed to pursue the matter then and observed that "the state of the file in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal, should be watched". Since then, the pendency has shown no signs of abatement and the need to take adequate steps to reduce the arrears has been increasingly felt.

3. I therefore recommended that a District Munsif of some experience may be appointed as Additional District Munsif, Kollegal in the first instance for three months.

4. Necessary accommodation is available and the extra establishment that may be required will be one clerk one Shorthand writer and two peons.

15-6 upm 5. I append two statements showing (1) Institutions, disposals and pendency during the last 5 years and upto the end of June 1936 in the District Munsif's Court of Kollegal and (2) Institution and disposals during the last three years in all the other Courts in the district.

- - - -

Statement showing the institution, disposal, and pendency in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal - 1931 to 1935 and up to the end of June 1936.

Year	Original Suits.			Small Cause Suits.			Civil Misc. Petitions.			Original Petitions.			Execution Petitions.			Insolvency Petitions.			Remarks.
	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	
1931	453	458	214	249	229	76	1010	999	110	9	8	3	525	595	148	3	2	1	(a)This includes 93 suits pending for more than one year. Of the total pendency 231 relate to title and 108 to money suits.
1932	613	599	230	367	353	90	1069	1075	103	11	8	6	793	749	193	3	2	2	
1933	516	517	233	260	285	65	937	896	145	3	8	1	832	613	413	4	3	3	
1934	414	317	331	174	155	84	1026	950	221	6	2	5	1008	990	431	2	-	5	
1935	356	433	254	140	182	42	1077	1192	106	10	13	2	873	1012	294	2	2	5	
1936 (1-1-36 to 30-6-36).	233	149	(a) 339	54	61	36	491	409	189	5	4	3	315	352	257	1	2	4	
	466	298			122														

District Court, Coimbatore,
Dated 10th August 1936.

Sd. M. Shahabuddin,
District Judge.

PA.

Statement showing the Institution and disposal of suits, etc. in the District Munsifs' Courts other than Kollegal for the years 1933 to 1935.

YEAR	Original Suits. File	Original Suits. Disposal	Small Cause Suits. File	Small Cause Suits. Disposal	Misc. Petitions. File	Misc. Petitions. Disposal	Original Petitions. File	Original Petitions. Disposal	Execution Petitions. File	Execution Petitions. Disposal	Remarks.
					District Munsif's Court, Coimbatore.						
1933	1641	1525	3566	3826	7085	7038	126	122	6771	6549	
1934	1403	1445	2854	2948	7669	7603	102	108	6718	6700	
1935	1369	1510	2807	2590	8610	8697	119	119	6667	8501	
					District Munsif's Court, Erode.						
1933	964	996	1766	1721	3882	3855	108	112	3797	3794	
1934	647	874	1470	1503	3916	3940	107	110	3305	3422	
1935	574	611	1522	1548	3792	3777	141	137	3202	3284	
					District Munsif's Court, Tirupur.						
1933	887	920	1296	1345	4861	4897	71	66	4562	4742	
1934	692	777	917	1011	4372	4320	72	72	3817	3698	
1935	621	619	777	785	4213	4217	69	67	3331	3344	
					District Munsif's Court, Udamalpet.						
1933	874	944	1399	1492	4207	4239	41	39	2989	2993	
1934	860	924	977	1030	3936	3985	52	55	2598	2654	
1935	1218	1261	405	442	4180	4201	54	56	2303	2400	
					District Munsif's Court, Gobichettipalayam.						
1933	675	710	1100	1110	2998	2996	59	74	2500	2477	
1934	750	932	733	747	3198	3241	42	44	2233	2318	
1935	573	627	728	734	3058	3057	59	50	2147	2128	
					District Munsif's Court, Dharapuram.						
1933	542	641	705	763	2332	2353	29	28	2557	2556	
1934	476	524	550	552	2461	2450	31	28	2449	2429	
1935	627	661	222	211	2983	2927	33	34	2287	2130	

Sd. M. Shahabuddin,
District Judge.

PA.

8

Revenue Department.

C-1-B / 3/11/36 / 21/9/36

O.M.No. 4181 A/36 dated 21-9-36

Courts - Civil-Appointment of an Additional District Munsiff for the District Munsiff's Court, Kollegal.

A copy of the letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras, R.O.P.No 5/36-C.2, dated 1-9-1936 (with enclosure) is forwarded to the Board of Revenue.

2. From the statistics furnished by the Registrar, High Court, it is observed that the disposal of work in the District Munsiff's Court, Kollegal has fallen off materially. ~~The Deputy Collector at Kollegal is also the District Munsiff in respect of the areas within his jurisdiction.~~ The Board of Revenue is requested to report whether the work of this ~~office~~ (Dy Collr) in the Revenue and Magisterial ~~is very~~ (sides of all) heavy and to offer its remarks as to ~~to enable the Government to decide whether an additional District Munsiff should be appointed for a short period~~ or whether ~~the Deputy Collector~~ (he) should

R to Bd 23.11.36.
C " 21-12-36

Which is presided over by the Dy Collr, Kollegal,

Revenue a Dept

9

~~himself~~ be ~~asked~~ to clear off the arrears of
Smale
his civil work ~~himself~~.

To the Board of Revenue, (with a copy of the letter in the C.F)
4 Encls

18/9/36

9

18.9.36

21.9.36

Dpd. by Van / Post / Messenger

Dpr.'s Initials. CR

Date 23.9.1936

C.F. 31—13,206—14-12-35.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No. 75 B

Received _____ 19 . *Registered* 5-1-37 19

Subject.

Courts- Civil- Appointment of an additional Munsiff for the Distfict Munsif's Court, Kollegal- Board's remarks- submitted-

---

Mis. No. 4384

Station Madras., *Dated the* 18-12-36. 19

From

F.R. Brislee Esq. I.C.S.,
Secretary,

Board of Revenue.

J.F. Hall Esq., C.I.E., O.B.E., I.C.S., Commr. of Land Revenue.

Read:-

Government Memorandum No.4181 B/36 Revenue Dept. dated 21st September 1936.

ii.

Letter from B.G. Holdsworth Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Coimbatore, D.Dis. No.7496/36 dated 11th November 1936:-

Sub:- Courts- Civil- Appointment of an additional District Munsif for the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal-

Board's Ref.D.No.5895/36-1 dated 26-9-36.

Statistics of Criminal and Revenue work of the Revenue Divisional office, Kollegal, and a copy of the statement showing the pendency of suits etc. in the District Munsif's court in the district during 1933 to 1935 and on 1-10-36 received from the District Judge, Coimbatore, are enclosed.

2. There has been no appreciable deterioration of civil court work in Kollegal during the last four years. The Civil

was

Civil Court work has, however, neglected by the then Revenue Divisional Officer during the first quarter of 1936. The present Revenue Divisional Officer considers that he can reduce the pendency without any outside assistance or special staff. His view may be accepted. His revenue and criminal work is about half that of a normal division. There should therefore, be no difficulty in keeping pace with the work.

3. I would suggest however that copies of the statements of pendency sent to the District Judge should be sent to the Collector also who would thereby be kept in touch with the progress of civil work (of which he knows nothing at present) and could interfere at once if arrears begin to accummulate. The District Judge is likely to be somewhat reluctant to question poor progress in view of his ignorance of the requirements of Revenue Divisional Officer's revenue and magisterial duties.

Resolution:-

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board supports the suggestion made by the Collector in para 3 of his report.

3. The Board has carefully examined the figures furnished by the District Judge and the District Collector and agrees with the Collector that the Revenue and magisterial work of the Deputy Collector is not heavy and that there has been no appreciable deterioration of the Civil Work at Kollegal. It accordingly considers that there is no case for the appointment of an additional District Munsiff at present.

4. If as proposed by the Collector, copies of the statements of pendency are sent to him also, he will be in a position to watch the work of the Revenue Divisional Officer and submit

See para 3 of the Collr's letter above.

12

proposals for giving him relief on the civil side or in any other branch of his work if and when he finds this necessary.

(True Extract)

Ramachandran.
For Secretary. 4.1.37.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.

AMK. 22/12.

Statement showing the pendency of suits etc in the District Munsif's Court during 1933 to 1935, and on 1-10-1936.

Name of Court	Year	Original Suits. Total	Original Suits. Over one year old	Small Cause Suits	Miscellaneous petitions	Original petitions	Execution petitions	Insolvency petitions.
District Munsif's Court, Coimbatore	1933	836	150	377	489	34	1175	20
	1934	830	261	325	569	30	1195	18
	1935	708	71	571	502	31	1263	13
	1-10-36	660	117	533	634	35	1401	15
—Do— Erode	1933	445	33	189	196	19	623	1
	1934	244	10	164	174	16	507	4
	1935	212	3	167	195	20	428	4
	1-10-36	254	6	237	175	18	326	6
—Do— Tiruppur	1933	380	63	208	256	12	508	2
	1934	284	57	134	309	12	630	1
	1935	311	58	148	311	14	621	2
	1-10-36	333	81	187	363	12	776	1
—Do— Udumalpet	1933	559	41	243	374	17	525	11
	1934	619	46	81	324	14	469	10
	1935	598	80	45	311	12	373	8
	1-10-36	601	153	74	393	16	463	4
—Do— Gobichettipalayam	1933	248	23	143	160	11	378	8
	1934	189	6	51	119	10	294	4
	1935	163	1	52	123	19	313	2
	1-10-36	127	2	52	96	15	290	1
—Do— Dharapuram	1933	167	5	63	120	5	393	-
	1934	166	8	70	132	8	414	1
	1935	216	25	21	189	7	573	-
	1-10-36	241	19	34	211	8	592	1
—Do— Kollegal	1933	233	37	65	145	1	413	3
	1934	331	93	84	221	5	431	5
	1935	254	90	42	106	2	294	5
	1-10-36	301	77	21	195	5	259	3

22/iv

Abstract of the Revenue Business in the Revenue Divisional Office, Kollegal

Year		No. pending at the beginning of the year	No. received during the year.	Total.	No. disposed of during the year.	No. pending at the end of the year	Remarks
1933	Current Numbers	60	1828	1888	1782	106	
	Copy applications	—	88	88	88	—	
	Total	60	1916	1976	1870	106	
1934	Current Numbers	106	1856	1962	1851	111	
	Copy applications	—	100	100	99	1	
	Total	106	1956	2062	1950	112	
1935	Current Numbers	111	1745	1856	1806	50	
	Copy applications	1	56	57	56	1	
	Total	112	1801	1918	1862	51	
1936	(First half year Current Numbers)	50	647	697	636	61	
	Copy applications	1	101	102	101	1	
	Total	51	748	799	737	62	

Statement showing the Criminal Cases filed, disposed of and pending for the past 5 years and in 1936 up to 1-10-36 in the Court of the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Kollegal

Year	Calender Case				Miscellaneous case				Criminal appeal				Summary cases			
	No. pending at the beginning of the year	Filed	Disposed of	Pending	No. pending at the beginning of the year	Filed	Disposed of	Pending	No. pending at the beginning of the year	No. filed	No. disposed of	No. pending	No. pending at the beginning of the year	No. filed	No. disposed of	Pending
1931	—	41	38	3	—	21	21	—	—	12	9	3	—	5	5	1
1932	3	30	28	5	—	16	16	—	3	11	13	1	1	—	—	—
1933	5	33	33	5	—	46	45	1	1	12	12	1	—	—	—	—
1934	5	38	41	2	1	27	27	1	1	7	7	1	—	—	—	—
1935	2	23	22	3	1	20	19	2	1	2	3	—	—	—	—	—
1936 up to 1st Oct 36	3	16	19	—	2	8	9	1	—	9	7	2	—	—	—	1

Statement showing the institution, disposal and pendency in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal in the year 1936 up to the end of September 1936.

Year	Original Suits			Small Cause Suits			Civil Misc. Petitions			Original petitions			Execution petitions			Insolvency petitions			Remarks
	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1936 up to the end of September 1936	328	283	301 *	80	102	21	812	724	195	7	4	5	534	569	259	2	4	3 *	* This includes 77 suits pending for more than one year. Of the total pendency 220 relate to Title and 81 relate to money suits

Munsif/Kollegal 7/11/36.

Statement showing the disposals in the various branches of work in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal for the years 1933, 1934, 1935 and 1936.

		Original Suits.	Small Cause Suits.	Miscellaneous Petitions	Original petitions	Execution petitions	Insolvency petitions	Remarks.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1933	1 Qr	110	67	261	5	176	1	
	2 "	105	49	159	2	102	-	
	3 "	157	104	220	1	184	2	
	4 "	145	65	256	-	151	-	
	Total	517	285	896	8	613	3	
1934	1 Qr	76	45	256	1	272	-	
	2 "	63	32	177	-	194	-	
	3 "	82	44	242	1	261	-	
	4 "	96	34	275	-	263	-	
	Total	317	155	950	2	990		
1935	1 Qr	89	52	279	1	294	-	
	2 "	88	35	240	-	136	-	
	3 "	162	61	378	5	305	1	
	4 "	94	34	295	7	277	1	
	Total	433	182	1192	13	1012	2	
1936	1 Qr	19	19	172	2	188	1	
	2 "	130	42	237	2	164	1	
	3 "	134	41	315	-	217	2	
	Total	283	102	724	4	569	4	

HOME (E) DEPARTMENT.

1937 E

From the High Court, R.O.E.No.5-36-C-2 d. 1-9-36.

...

COURTS - Civil - Appointment of an Addl. Dt. Munsif for the Dt. Munsif's court, Kollegal.

..

A.S.

The Hon. the Judges of the High Court recommend that the appointment of an Additional District Munsif with an establishment of two clerks and two peons may be sanctioned for a period of 3 months for the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal. The District Munsif at Kollegal is a Deputy Collector who is doing civil work.

2. The reasons for the proposed appointment of an additional district Munsif are:-

(1) that the Deputy Collector is away from head quarters for nearly half a month and has a fair amount of revenue work to do;

(2) that the old suits are now nearly one fourth of the total pendency of original suits;

(3) that the arrears are much more serious than they would be in an ordinary District Munsif's Court.

3. It is observed from the District Judge's letter that the appointment of an Additional District Munsif for the court, was considered on a previous occasion but the High Court did not propose to pursue the matter and directed that the state of the file in the Court should be watched. The District Judge now says that the pendency has since then shown no signs of abatement. He also says that as the Dt. Munsif has also the revenue and magistrial work and as he is at the headquarters only for about 12 days in the month there is no prospect of the pendency being reduced

reduced within a reasonable time.

4. The following statement shows the institution and pendency in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal;

Year.	O.S.			S.C.S.		
	Institution.	Disposal	Pendency.	Institution.	Disposal.	Pendency.
1931	453	458	214	249	229	76.
1932	613	599	230	367	353	90
1933	516	517	233	260	285	65
1934	414	317	331	174	155	84
1935	356	433	254	140	182	42
1936 (on the basis of first six months).	466	298	~~339~~ 337	108	122	*36

*actual pendency on 1.7.36

The institutions, both O.S. and S.Cs. from 1932 have declined considerably. The present pendency of ~~339~~ 337 O.S. is due to the fact that the disposal for during 1934 was only 317 as compared with the disposal for the previous years (i.e. ~~nowhere~~ 200 O.S. less than the previous years). The disposal for 1935 has shown ~~a slight~~ an increase over that for 1934, On the basis of the disposals for the first six months of 1936, the total disposal for the year will not be more than 300 O.S. Submitted that the High Court may be asked to obtain and forward the explanation of the ~~Deputy Collector~~ Munsif for the low disposals since 1934

149 — p. 5q

5. In view of the pendency of 339 O.S. with 93 suits pending for more than a year, it is for consideration whether the appointment of an additional officer with 2 clerks and 2 peons may be sanctioned for a period of three months as recommended by the High Court.

* Remarks in the statement at p. 5q

6. The District Munsif's court, Kollegal is presided over by a Deputy Collector. The latest notification appointing Mr.P.Chinnaswami Talaivar is at flag 'I'. In G.O.No.1994 L.G. dated 16-6-26, the

proposal

proposal of the High Court to appoint the Deputy Collr. of Kollegal's ex-officio District Munsif was approved. The High Court has also been asked to give effect to the proposal by appointing the officer as ex-officio District Munsif under sec. 7 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. The additional office now proposed is under sec. 4 of the Act, as amended by Madras Act III of 1925. There is no objection to directing that the number of District Munsifs to be appointed to the court shall be two as usual.

7 The proposal should ordinarily be treated as a Part II scheme. The High Court requests that the appointment may be sanctioned at an early date. In view of the urgency of the matter, Finance may be requested to agree to the additonal appointment being sanctioned after taking the orders of the Cabinet. A report to the Finance Committee may be made thereafter.

Disposal of work has fallen off materially in this court but compared with work in appellate Court & M. is Court the amount of civil Court work done by Coll: has been is very small: compare his disposal with that of the Coimbatore Dist's Court at p 6 C.P.

-4-

It seems to me questionable whether it is desirable to put an outer officer to clear off this man's arrears rather than insist on his clearing off his own arrears. Whether this latter course comes to be necessary depends on whether his work in the Rev. & Magisterial side is at all heavy. This is a point on which I have no idea. Perhaps the Rev. Dept. will advise us on the matter.

HB
16/9

Rev

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
17 SEP 1936
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

A

Spare
Rev 4181B
17.9.36.
Honarary 1937 E-1.
17.9.36.

Rev. Deptt.

To Asst Secy.

With reference to the Home Secy's note at page 37 ante it is for orders whether the Board may be Consulted. Subject to orders a draft O.M. is submitted for approval

P.K.
18/9/36

18.9.36

S

Moved - Vide Mp 8-9-36 ⊛ Move.

But is not the present D.C. an acting one who is to be reverted? In which case he cannot be one of the persons to elect the Chairman

21.9.36

Mp 8-9-36. Issued as Memo No 4181/B/36 dated 21.9.36
To Asst. Secy.

No 24 at p 10 of the list put up (I) / received

The present Deputy Collector is Mr. P. Chinnaswami Talaivar, who was posted to general duty Coimbatore in March 1936. He is an acting Deputy Collector on probation and as

there are about 22 Deputy Collrs. on probation junior to him, there is no likelihood of his being reverted.

26.9.36

28.9.36

26.9.36

28/9/36

To the Board of Rev. O.M. No. 4181 B/86-1 dt 21.9.86 pp 8-9 CF

From Resolution Mis. no. 4384 dt 10.12.36 pp 10-12 CF

To A.S

Please see the Memo. of the Board of Rev. at pp 8-9 CF. and the Board's resolution at pp 10-12 CF. In the circumstances stated, this dept. may agree with the ~~too~~ Board's

view that ~~[illegible]~~ there is no case for the appointment of an additional District Munsiff at Kollegal and may support the Collectors suggestion dealt with in para 4 of the Board resolution.

2. The file may be returned to the Home Dept.

DW
7.1.37.
OK.
7/1/37

8.1.37

S
9.1.37

Home.

Rev 2-0 Hs VR 4181 B/37-2
7.1.37.
Home 157 E
11.1.37.

Home (E) Deptt.

A.S.

Please see the Secy's note on pp 3-4 ante to memo to the Bd. of Rev. at pp 8-9 cf. and the Board's reply at 11-12 cf. The Collector of Coimbatore reports that there has been no appreciable deterioration of civil work in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal during the last four

Hr 10-11 cf

years, but that it was neglected by the then R.D.O., Kollegal during the first quarter of 1936. The Collector agrees with the present R.D.O. and considers that he could himself reduce the pendency without the assistance of a special staff. The Bd. of Rev. agrees that the revenue and magisterial work of the R.D.O., Kollegal is not heavy and considers that there is no case for the appointment of an Addl. Dt. Munsif at present. The Rev. Dept. accepts the Bd's view. This Dept may also agree. Finance may be.

2/ The Collector's suggestion that the copies of Statements of pendency* sent to the Dt. Judge should be sent to the Collector also, may be put to the High Court and it may be asked to issue the necessary instructions in the matter. After orders are passed in this case, the High Court may be addressed.

* also institutions and disposals: Vide entry against item 1 under the heading 'Quarterly' on p. 67 and Form No. 7 on p. 381 of the Civil Rules of Practice as per Circular order No. — (Nil returns)

VCS 24/1/37 KCW 22.1.37

Put up a draft letter to the H.C.

ZK 31/1

A.S.

Revised VCS 2.2.37

A draft letter is submitted for approval.

VCS 2.2.37 KCW 3/2

ZK 4/2

S

G. Rick 5/2

G 5.2.37.

Home Dept

13/6/37

Secret
No 528 Hand. 6.2.37

Court - civil. Dt Munsiff Court.
Establishment - appointment of an Addl Dt Munsiff -
Proposal - dropped

from the Registrar, High Court

Madras

6/6/37

Letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras - Appointment of Addl Dt Munsiff, Kollegal - Report - Miscellaneous Records Roc No 1005/36-C3

Ref: G.O. 5/6/36

I am directed to state that the Govt have carefully considered the proposal of the High Court for the appointment of an Addl Dt Munsiff to clear off the arrears of civil work in the Court of the R.D.O. Kollegal who is ex-officio Dt Munsiff. The Board of Revenue is of opinion that there is no need for the Addl appointment as it has reported that the revenue and magisterial work of the RDO is not heavy, and that

Hitherto there has been no appreciable delay in the disposal of civil work at Kottagal and it is also mentioned in the present RDO's case that he is not in a position to complete the pending work without any outside assistance or special staff. In the circumstances the Govt do not consider it necessary to make any appointment at present and is unwilling to sanction the proposal for the appointment of a Subordinate Judge at Kottagal for the disposal of civil work.

It has been suggested that a copy of the periodical statement of civil work submitted by the RDO should be sent to the Judge and may be communicated to the Collector also if any direct request is received from the Munsiff or Judge in that behalf for such data as may be necessary in connection with this report.

Govt Order No. 87 Judl. dated 7-2-1911 (Copy to the Board of Revenue)

Copy to the Bd of Rev
Rev dept